



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,027	04/09/2004	Chunbing Guo	2-5	1686
7590 10/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Suite 205			BAKER, STEPHEN M	
1300 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfield, CT 06824			2133	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/822,027	GUO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen M. Baker	2133			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the property of the prop	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	anuary 2005.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	Mc)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>012805</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P	Patent Application			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/822,027

Art Unit: 2133

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,632,019 to Masiewicz (hereafter "Masiewicz").

Masiewicz discloses advantages to sensing a bus load variation, which includes detecting an added bus receiver on a computer system bus, by detecting the rate of voltage change on the bus in response to an injected current.

Masiewicz does not discuss adjusting a common mode voltage by the current injection, and does not mention application of the load-sensing to a PCI-Express link.

Official Notice is taken that PCI-Express was a well-known kind of computer system bus at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Masiewicz's computer bus receiver detection, with its consequent advantages, to a PCI-Express bus. Such an application would have been obvious because PCI-Express was already a well-known computer system bus. Bus current injection in such an application would modulate the bus common mode voltage.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,027 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Masiewicz does not discuss CML buffers. Official Notice is taken that CML buffers were conventional bus buffers at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Masiewicz's computer bus current injection by using a CML buffer. Such an application would have been obvious because CML buffers were already conventional bus buffers.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,027 Page 4

Art Unit: 2133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen M. Baker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

smb